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Five new cytotoxic peptides, related to theonellamide $F$ (1), were isolated from a marine sponge Theonella sp. Theonellamide $\mathrm{A}(2)$ and B (3) differ from F (1) in three amino acid residues. Additionally, theonellamide A (2) bears a $\beta$-D-galactose linked to the free imidazole nitrogen. Theonellamide C (4) is debromo 1. Theonellamide D (5) and E (6) are the $\beta$-L-arabinoside and $\beta$-D-galactoside of 1. Structures 2-6 were assigned on the basis of spectral data and chromatographic analyses of degradation products.

Marine sponges of the order Lithistida which include the genera Discodermia and Theonella are prominent sources of bioactive secondary metabolites, especially peptides. ${ }^{2}$ Similarity between metabolites of lithistid sponges and those isolated from the blue-green algae raised the question of the true producer of these metabolites. ${ }^{3}$ In 1989, we reported isolation and structure of theonellamide F (1), a bicyclic peptide bridged by a histidinoalanine residue, as the major metabolite of a sponge, Theonella sp., collected off Hachijo-jima Island. ${ }^{4}$ Further separation of the antifungal fraction of the sponge extract afforded five related peptides, theonellamides A-E. Their structure elucidation is the subject of this paper.
The $n$ - BuOH -soluble portion of the aqueous $n$ - PrOH extract was fractionated by chromatography on TSK G3000S yielding a crude antifungal fraction, which was subjected to silica gel chromatography to afford two active fractions. Reversed-phase HPLC of the less polar fraction yielded theonellamides B (3), C (4), and F (1), while the more polar fraction afforded theonellamides $A(2), D(5)$, and $E(6) .^{5}$ Compounds 2-6 were moderately cytotoxic against P388 murine leukemia cells with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $5.0,1.7,2.5,1.7$, and $0.9 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, respectively.
Theonellamide A (2) was isolated as a white powder with a UV maximum at 288 nm , suggesting the presence of an $\omega$-phenyl amino acid residue similar to ( $5 E, 7 E$ )-3-amino-4-hydroxy-6-methyl-8-( $p$-bromophenyl)-5,7-octadienoic acid (Aboa) found in 1.4 The isotope ion peaks in the FABMS indicated the presence of one bromine atom. The molecular formula of 2 was $\mathrm{C}_{76} \mathrm{H}_{99} \mathrm{BrN}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{28}$ on the basis of HRFABMS and NMR data.

[^0]

Apoa $=(5 E, 7 E)-3-$ amino-4-hydroxy-6-methyl-8-phenyl-5,7octadienoic acid
Aboa $=(5 \mathrm{E}, 7 \mathrm{E})$-3-amino-4-hydroxy-6-methyl-8-(p-bromophenyl) 5,7 -
octadienoic acid
sAla = alanine portion of histidinoalanine
OHAsn = $\beta$-hydroxyasparagine
$\beta$-MeBrPhe $=\beta$-methyl- $p$-bromophenylalanine
$\mathrm{Br} P \mathrm{he}=\rho$-bromophenylalanine
Iser $=$ isoserine
Ahad $=\alpha$-amino- $\gamma$-hydroxyadipic acid
sHis $=$ histidine portion of histidinoalanine
aThr = allo-threonine
Although theonellamide $\mathrm{A}(2)$ was soluble in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or in DMSO- $d_{6}$, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR signals in these solvents were broad. However, signals were sharper in mixtures of DMSO- $d_{6}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Therefore, NMR spectra were measured in DMSO- $d_{6} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4: 1,2: 1$, or 1:1) at 308,333 , or 343 K .
Interpretation of the COSY, HOHAHA, NOESY, and HMQC spectra revealed the presence of one residue each
of $\beta$-hydroxyasparagine (OHAsn), Asn, $\alpha$-amino- $\gamma$-hydroxyadipic acid (Ahad), $a \mathrm{Thr},{ }^{6}$ Phe, and histidinoalanine (Hisala), ${ }^{7}$ and two residues of Ser, which were also found in theonellamide $F$ (1). In addition to these units, one residue each of isoserine (Iser), $\beta$-methyl- $p$-bromophenylalanine ( MeBrPhe ), and ( $5 E, 7 E$ )-3-amino-4-hydroxy-6-methyl-8-phenyl-5,7-octadienoic acid (Apoa) were present (Table 1). The remaining six carbons, including an anomeric carbon, four oxymethines, and an oxymethylene, were reminiscent of a hexose unit. Although interpretation of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data for the sugar unit in a mixture of DMSO $-d_{6} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ was hampered by severe overlapping, this problem could be solved by measuring the NMR spectra in a mixture of DMSO- $d_{6} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O} /$ pyridine $-d_{5}$ (2:2: 1), which allowed assignment of a galactopyranose unit with an axial anomeric proton. ${ }^{8}$

The sequence of the amino acid residues and the position of glycosidation were determined by interpretation of the NOESY and HMBC spectra. In order to overcome degenerate amide and $\alpha$-methine signals, the NMR spectra were measured at various temperatures in DMSO- $d_{6} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ mixtures of varied ratios. Thus, the amino acid sequences were deduced without exception. ${ }^{9}$ HMBC cross peaks observed between H 1 of the galactopyranose unit and both C2 and C4 of the imidazole ring indicated the attachment of galactose to the $\pi$-nitrogen on the imidazole ring. The side chain structures of the OHAsn and Asn residues were deduced from NOESY cross peaks between the amide protons and the pertinent $\beta$-proton(s). The $\alpha$-carbonyl carbon of the Ahad residue must be a free carboxylic acid as observed in theonellamide $F(1)$, which agreed with the chemical shift at 175.7 ppm , satisfying the molecular formula.

Amino acid analysis together with chiral GC analysis of the acid hydrolysate of theonellamide $A(2)$ revealed the presence of erythro- $\beta$-hydroxyaspartic acid, L-Asp, $(2 S, 4 R)$-Ahad, L- $a$ Thr, two residues of L-Ser, and L-Phe. The stereochemistry of the galactose residue was also determined to be D by chiral GC analysis. ${ }^{10}$ Stereochemical assignments of the OHAsp and Hisala residues were accomplished by application of Marfey's method. ${ }^{11}$ Standard amino acid analysis indicated that OHAsp in 2 had either $(2 S, 3 R)$ or $(2 R, 3 S)$ stereochemistry. The retention time of the Marfey derivative of OHAsp in the acid hydrolysate of 2 coincided with that of the ( $2 S, 3 R$ )-isomer but not with that of the $(2 R, 3 S)$-isomer. ${ }^{4}$ The stereochemistry of the Hisala residue in 2 was identical with

[^1]Table 1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Data for Theonellamide A (2)

|  |  | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{a}$ | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ser-1 | $\alpha$ | $3.75 \mathrm{~m}^{\text {c }}$ | 56.4 |
|  | $\beta$ | 3.62 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) | 60.8 |
|  | CONH | 7.83 s | 172.1 |
| Apoa | $\alpha$ | 2.11 (br d, 10), 2.37 (br t, 12.3) | 37.1 |
|  | $\beta$ | 4.08 m | 52.5 |
|  | $\gamma$ | 4.24 m | 68.3 |
|  | $\delta$ | 5.14 (d, 8.0) | 132.5 |
|  | $\epsilon$ |  | 135.8 |
|  | $\epsilon-\mathrm{Me}$ | 1.64 (3H, s) | 13.1 |
|  | $\zeta$ | 6.61 (d, 16.1) | 133.6 |
|  | $\eta$ | 6.50 (d, 16.1) | 128.1 |
|  | 1 |  | 137.6 |
|  | 2,6 | 7.41 (2H, d, 7.7) | 126.6 |
|  | 3,5 | 7.31 (2H, t, 7.7) | 129.2 |
|  | 4 | 7.20 (t, 7.7) | 127.9 |
|  | CONH | 7.77 (d, 9.5) | 171.9 |
| Phe | $\alpha$ | 4.56 (q, 7.9) | 54.4 |
|  | $\beta$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.64 \text { (dd, 6.4, 13.6), } \\ & 2.81 \text { (dd, 8.2, 13.5) } \end{aligned}$ | 38.9 |
|  | 1 |  | 137.0 |
|  | 2,6 | 7.15 (2H, d, 7.3) | 129.5 |
|  | 3,5 | 7.23 (2H, t, 7.3) | 128.7 |
|  | 4 | 7.19 (t, 7.3) | 127.0 |
|  | CONH | 7.99 (d, 9.0) | 171.0 |
| Ser-2 | $\alpha$ | 4.44 m | 56.2 |
|  | $\beta$ | $3.59 \mathrm{~m}, 3.64 \mathrm{~m}$ | 61.6 |
|  | CONH | 8.55 br | 169.5 |
| $a \mathrm{Thr}$ | $\alpha$ | 4.23 m | 58.5 |
|  | $\beta$ | 3.54 m | 68.5 |
|  | $\gamma$ | 0.98 (3H, d, 5.8) | 21.1 |
|  | OH | 5.32 (d, 4.8) |  |
|  | CONH | 7.67 (d, 8.0) | 171.8 |
| sHis | $\alpha$ | 4.62 m | 54.6 |
|  | $\beta$ | 2.98 (br d, 10.6), 3.27 m | 25.9 |
|  | 2 | 8.88 s | 137.0 |
|  | 4 |  | 131.7 |
|  | 5 | 7.12 br s | 123.7 |
|  | CONH | 8.30 n . | 170.7 |
| Gal | 1 | 5.02 (d, 8.8) | 88.5 |
|  | 2 | 3.61 m | 69.7 |
|  | 3 | 3.40 m | 73.5 |
|  | 4 | 3.81 s | 69.5 |
|  | 5 | 3.64 m | 78.6 |
|  | 6 | $3.65 \mathrm{~m}, 3.74 \mathrm{~m}$ | 61.6 |
| Ahad | $\alpha$ | 3.88 m | 52.5 |
|  | $\beta$ | $1.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ | 38.9 |
|  |  | 3.65 m | 65.4 |
|  | $\delta$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.89(\text { br d, 12.0) } \\ & 2.17(\text { br t }, 11.5) \end{aligned}$ | 44.2 |
|  | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ |  | 175.7 |
|  | CONH | 7.55 (d, 7.1) | 171.3 |
| Iser | $\alpha$ | 4.08 m | 69.5 |
|  | $\beta$ | $2.88 \mathrm{~m}, 3.80 \mathrm{~m}$ | 43.3 |
|  | CONH | 7.35 m | 172.0 |
| $\beta-\mathrm{MeBrPhe}$ | $\alpha$ | 4.43 (dd, 5.3, 8.1) | 59.1 |
|  | $\beta$ | 3.23 m | 39.7 |
|  | $\beta-\mathrm{Me}$ | 1.07 (3H, d, 7.0) | 17.3 |
|  | 1 |  | 141.6 |
|  | 2,6 | 7.01 (2H, d, 8.2) | 130.5 |
|  | 3,5 | 7.29 (2H, d, 8.2) | 131.3 |
|  | 4 |  | 120.0 |
|  | CONH | 8.39 br | 171.2 |
| OHAsn | $\alpha$ | 5.32 m | 54.3 |
|  | $\beta$ | 3.88 m | 72.1 |
|  | $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ | $7.10 \mathrm{brs}, 7.35 \mathrm{brs}$ | 174.2 |
|  | CONH | 8.30 m | 170.9 |
| Asn | $\alpha$ | 4.46 m | 51.7 |
|  | $\beta$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.27 \text { (br d, 15), } \\ & 2.56(\mathrm{dd}, 10.7,15.5) \end{aligned}$ | 36.9 |
|  | $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ | $6.84 \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 7.38 \mathrm{brs}$ | 172.1 |
|  | CONH | 7.75 br | 170.4 |
| sAla | $\alpha$ | 5.07 br s | 50.9 |
|  | $\beta$ | $4.20 \mathrm{~m}, 4.89$ (br d, 13.5) | 50.2 |
|  | CONH | 8.30 m | 169.3 |

${ }^{a}$ In DMSO- $d_{6} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (4:1) at $308 \mathrm{~K} .{ }^{b}$ In DMSO- $d_{6} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (4:1) at 333 K . ${ }^{c}$ " m " indicates that the signal multiplicity is not determined due to overlapped signals.
that in 1 by Marfey analysis. ${ }^{12}$ A positive Cotton effect ${ }^{13}$ and a negative specific rotation value ${ }^{14}$ of isoserine isolated from the acid hydrolysate indicated the $2 S$ stereochemistry. The CD spectrum of $\beta$-methyl-p-bromophenylalanine which showed a positive Cotton effect at 227 nm revealed $2 S$-stereochemistry. ${ }^{13} \beta$-Methyl- $p$ bromophenylalanine was hydrogenolyzed to $\beta$-methylphenylalanine, whose four stereoisomers are reported in the literature. ${ }^{15}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR coupling constant values of $\alpha$ - and $\beta$-protons allowed stereochemical assignment of $\mathrm{C}-3$ with respect to $\mathrm{C}-2$ and led to $2 S, 3 S$ stereochemistry. In order to determine the stereochemistry of the Apoa residue, theonellamide A was hydrogenated, followed by acid hydrolysis, and the resulting acid hydrolysate was analyzed by chiral GC, which resulted in the same stereochemistry for Aboa as in the case of theonellamide F. ${ }^{4}$

The molecular formula of theonellamide $B$ (3) was smaller than 2 by $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, suggesting absence of the galactose unit. Interpretation of the NMR data allowed the assignment of a likely gross structure (Tables 2 and 3). Theonellamide B was confirmed as degalactosyltheonellamide A (1) by chiral GC and Marfey analyses of the acid hydrolysate of 3 and (2) by chiral GC analysis of the acid hydrolysate of the hydrogenation product of 3.

Theonellamide C (4) had the molecular formula $\mathrm{C}_{69} \mathrm{H}_{88}$ $\mathrm{BrN} \mathrm{N}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{22}$. Amino acid analysis of the acid hydrolysate suggested that 4 was closely related to theonellamide $F$, the only difference being the presence of Phe instead of BrPhe in 1. Interpretation of NMR data (Tables 2 and 3) suggested that 4 indeed was debromotheonellamide $F$, which was confirmed by stereochemical assignments of the amino acid residues as in case of 3 .

Theonellamides D (5) and E(6) could be separated by reversed-phase HPLC in a recycling mode (five to eight times). Amino acid analyses of the acid hydrolysates of 5 and 6 exhibited essentially identical chromatograms, which were also identical with that of theonellamide $F$. FABMS and NMR data (Tables 2 and 3) indicated that 5 and 6 were glycosylated derivatives of 1 at the $\pi$-nitrogen of the imidazole ring. ${ }^{7}$ Coupling constant
(12) We previously deduced the stereochemistry of Hisala residue in 1 as $L$ for the histidine portion and $D$ for the alanine portion (LDisomer) by chemical methods. When the acid hydrolysate was prepared under standard conditions ( $6 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}, 110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$ ), we obtained a $1: 1$ mixture of the LD- and the LL-isomers. ${ }^{4}$ Under milder hydrolysis condition ( $6 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}, 107{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}$ ), the ratio of LD-and LL-isomers was $3: 1 . .^{4}$ In the mild acid hydrolysate ( $6 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}, 110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$ ) of 2 , we detected the LD-and LL-isomers in a ratio of $5: 1$ by HPLC analysis of the Marfey derivative, suggesting the stereochemistry of the Hisala residue in 2 to be identical with that in 1 . However, there was still a possibility of an overlap of the DL- and DD-isomer peaks with the LLisomer peak in the Marfey analysis. In order to exclude this possibility, the following experiment was carried out. The LD- and LL-isomers were derivatized with Marfey's reagent prepared from D-Ala (D-Marfey's reagent), which introduced opposite chiral centers in the molecule. The LD-isomer and the LL-isomer derivatized with D-Marfey's reagent are enantiomeric to, and therefore chromatographically equivalent to, the DL-isomer and the DD-isomer derivatized with the conventional Marfey's reagent. All four peaks were well separated, allowing us to determine unambiguously that the major Hisala residue liberated from 2 by mild acid hydrolysis was the LD-isomer.
(13) (a) Iizuka, E.; Yang, J. T. Biochemistry 1964, 3, 1519-1524. (b) Fowden, L.; Scope, P. M.; Thomas, P. N. J. Chem. Soc. C 1971, 833-840.
(14) Andruszkiewicz, R.; Czerwinski, A.; Grybowska, J. Synthesis 1983, 31.
(15) Kataoka, Y.; Seto, Y.; Yamamoto, M.; Yamada, T.; Kuwata, S.; Watanabe, H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1976, 49, 1081-1084. Due to the paucity of material, we were unable to determine the specific rotation. The magnitude of the coupling constant of our material $\left(J_{\alpha, \beta}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ agreed well with the reported value for the erythro isomer ( 7.5 Hz ) rather than the threo isomer ( 4.8 Hz ).
analysis ${ }^{16}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data ${ }^{17}$ revealed the presence of arabopyranose and galactopyranose units in 5 and 6, respectively. Both anomeric protons were axial. Absolute stereochemistry of the amino acid residues in 5 and 6 was assigned as in case of 3 , while the stereochemistry of the monosaccharide units was determined by chiral GC analyses of the acid hydrolysate. ${ }^{18}$

Recently, a closely related peptide, theonegramide, was reported from the Philippine lithistid sponge, Theonella swinhoei. ${ }^{19}$ Cytotoxic macrodiolides, bistheonellides, ${ }^{20}$ and antithrombin cyclic peptides, cyclotheonamides, ${ }^{21}$ were also contained in our Theonella sponge. However, an Okinawan Theonella sponge that contained bistheonellides elaborated no theonellamides. ${ }^{22}$ Variability in secondary metabolites may indicate the presence of different producing microorganisms in Theonella sponges.

## Experimental Section ${ }^{23}$

Isolation of Theonellamides. The antifungal fraction eluted from a column of TSK G3000S was subjected to silica gel column chromatography as described previously. ${ }^{4}$ The less polar active fraction ( 1.4 g ) was fractionated by ODS-HPLC [ $n$ - $\mathrm{PrOH} / 50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KH} 2 \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (32:68)] to yield 1 and a mixture of 3 and 4 . The mixture was further subjected to ODS-HPLC [ $\mathrm{MeCN} / 100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaClO}{ }_{4}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (38:62)] with recycling to afford $3(19 \mathrm{mg})$ and $4(32 \mathrm{mg})$. The more polar active fraction ( 2 g ) was fractionated by ODS-HPLC $[n-\mathrm{PrOH} /$ $50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KH} \mathrm{KO}_{4}$ in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(28: 72)\right]$ to yield $2(200 \mathrm{mg})$ and a mixture of 5 and 6 . The mixture was subjected to ODS-HPLC [ $\mathrm{MeCN} / 100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaClO} 4$ in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(38: 62)\right]$ with recycling to yield $5(14 \mathrm{mg})$ and $6(30 \mathrm{mg})$.

Theonellamide A (2): white powder; $[\alpha]^{233}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+23^{\circ}$ [c 0.1, $\left.n-\mathrm{PrOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2: 1)\right]$; UV $\left[n-\mathrm{PrOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2: 1)\right] 288 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon 12000) ;$ HRFABMS $m / z 1763.6068(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{76} \mathrm{H}_{100}{ }^{79} \mathrm{BrN}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{28}(\Delta$ $-0.8 \mathrm{mmu}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR see Table $1 ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR see Table 1.

Theonellamide B (3): white powder; $[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}=+6.6^{\circ}[c 0.1$, $\left.n-\mathrm{PrOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2: 1)\right] ; \mathrm{UV}\left[n-\mathrm{PrOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2: 1)\right] 290 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon 9500) ;$ HRFABMS $m / z 1601.5604(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{70} \mathrm{H}_{90}{ }^{79} \mathrm{BrN}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{23}(\Delta$ $+5.6 \mathrm{mmu}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR see Table 2; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR see Table 3.

Theonellamide C (4): white powder; $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=0.0^{\circ}[c 0.1$, $\left.n-\mathrm{PrOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2: 1)\right] ; \mathrm{UV}\left[n-\mathrm{PrOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2: 1)\right] 292 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon 18000) ;$ HRFABMS $m / z 1571.5442(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{69} \mathrm{H}_{88}{ }^{79} \mathrm{BrN}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{22}(\Delta$ $+6.3 \mathrm{mmu}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR see Table $2 ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR see Table 3.

Theonellamide D (5): white powder; $[\alpha]^{23{ }_{\mathrm{D}}}=+16^{\circ}[\mathrm{c} 0.1$, $\left.n-\mathrm{PrOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2: 1)\right] ; \mathrm{UV}\left[n-\mathrm{PrOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2: 1)\right] 290 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon 20000) ;$ HRFABMS $m / z 1781.4841(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{74} \mathrm{H}_{95}{ }^{79} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{26}(\Delta$ $-12.9 \mathrm{mmu}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR see Table $2 ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR see Table 3.

Theonellamide $\mathbf{E}(6)$ : white powder; $[\alpha]^{23}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=+20^{\circ}[$ c 0.1 , $\left.n-\mathrm{PrOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2: 1)\right] ; \mathrm{UV}\left[n-\mathrm{PrOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2: 1)\right] 292 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon 27000) ;$

[^2]Table 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data for $\mathbf{3 - 6}$ [DMSO- $\boldsymbol{d}_{6} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4: 1), 308 \mathrm{~K}$ ]

|  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ser-1 | $\alpha$ | $4.01 \mathrm{~m}^{e}$ | 4.00 m | 3.74 m | 3.75 m |
|  | $\beta$ | 3.55 m | 3.55 m | 3.62 m | 3.62 m |
|  | $\beta^{\prime}$ | 3.62 m | 3.62 m | 3.62 m | 3.62 m |
|  | NH | 7.71 s | 7.72 s | 7.80 s | 7.81 s |
| Aboa ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\alpha$ | 2.12 (br d, 9.6) | 2.10 (br d, 10.3) | 2.09 (br d, 10.3) | 2.10 (br d, 9.9) |
|  | $\alpha^{\prime}$ | 2.35 (br t, 13.4) | 2.34 m | 2.37 m | 2.38 m |
|  | $\beta$ | 4.09 m | 4.04 m | 4.08 m | 4.09 m |
|  | $\gamma$ | 4.22 m | 4.22 m | 4.23 m | 4.23 m |
|  | $\delta$ | 5.16 m | 5.17 m | 5.19 (d, 8.4) | 5.18 (d, 7.9) |
|  | $\epsilon-\mathrm{Me}$ | 1.64 (3H, s) | 1.62 (3H, s) | 1.63 (3H, s) | 1.62 (3H, s) |
|  | $\zeta$ | 6.61 (d, 16.1) | 6.63 (d, 16.2) | 6.64 (d, 16.2) | 6.63 (d, 16.1) |
|  | $\eta$ | 6.50 (d, 16.1) | 6.47 (d, 16.2) | 6.47 (d, 16.2) | 6.47 (d, 16.1) |
|  | 2,6 | 7.41 (2H, d, 7.8) | 7.37 (2H, d, 8.6) | 7.38 (2H, d, 8.5) | 7.37 (2H, d, 8.5) |
|  | 3,5 | 7.31 (2H, t, 7.8) | 7.49 (2H, d 8.6) | 7.48 (2H, d 8.5) | 7.48 (2H, d 8.5) |
|  | 4 | 7.19 m |  |  |  |
|  | NH | 7.67 (d, 9.9) | 7.67 m | 7.77 (d, 9.3) | 7.78 (d, 9.3) |
| Phe | $\alpha$ | 4.54 m | 4.53 m | 4.56 m | 4.54 m |
|  | $\beta$ | 2.65 (dd, 6.3, 13.2) | 2.63 m | 2.63 (dd, 6.5, 13.5) | 2.64 (dd, 6.3, 13.4) |
|  | $\beta^{\prime}$ | 2.82 m | 2.83 m | $2.81 \mathrm{~m}$ | $2.80 \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | 2,6 | 7.15 (2H, d, 7.3) | 7.14 (2H, m) | 7.15 (2H, d, 7.3) | $7.14(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, 7.5)$ |
|  | 3,5 | 7.22 (2H, t, 7.3) | 7.22 (2H, t, 7.3) | 7.23 (2H, t, 7.3) | 7.23 (2H, t, 7.5) |
|  | 4 | 7.19 (t, 7.3) | 7.18 (t, 7.3) | 7.18 (t, 7.3) | 7.18 (t, 7.5) |
|  | NH | 7.93 (d, 7.2) | 7.93 (d, 9.7) | 8.06 (d, 8.2) | 7.99 (d, 8.5) |
| Ser-2 | $\alpha$ | 4.39 m | 4.39 m | 4.51 m | 4.45 m |
|  | $\beta$ | 3.62 m | 3.62 m | 3.55 m | 3.59 m |
|  | $\beta^{\prime}$ | 3.67 m | 3.66 m | 3.67 m | 3.63 m |
|  | NH | 8.38 br | 8.38 (d, 7.9) | 8.62 br s | 8.54 br s |
| $a \mathrm{Thr}$ | $\alpha$ | 4.20 m | 4.15 (t, 9.2) | 4.22 m | 4.21 m |
|  | $\beta$ | $3.63 \mathrm{~m}$ | 3.55 m | 3.47 m | 3.49 m |
|  | $\gamma$ | 1.01 (3H, d, 5.8) | 0.87 (3H, d, 5.8) | 0.89 (3H, d, 5.7) | 0.87 (3H, d, 5.7) |
|  | OH | 5.33 (d, 5.0) | 5.25 br s | 5.37 br s | 5.28 (d, 4.4) |
|  | NH | 7.63 m | 7.63 (d, 9.1) | 7.50 m | 7.67 (d, 8.3) |
| sHis ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\alpha$ | 4.41 m | 4.36 m | 4.53 m | 4.58 m |
|  | $\beta$ | 2.60 (br d, 11.3) | 2.59 m | 2.90 m | 3.00 m |
|  | $\beta^{\prime}$ | 2.79 m | 2.78 m | 3.21 (br t, 12.5) | 3.29 m |
|  | 2 | 7.12 m | 7.19 m | 8.83 br s | 8.88 br s |
|  | 5 | 6.65 br s | 6.69 br s | 7.10 br s | 7.12 m |
|  | NH | 8.11 br s | 8.20 br | 8.10 br | 8.39 br s |
| Ahad | $\alpha$ | 3.91 m | 4.08 m | $3.96 \mathrm{~m}$ | $3.97 \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | $\beta$ | 1.77 (2H, m) | 1.85 (2H, m) | $1.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ | $1.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ |
|  | $\gamma$ | 3.74 m | 3.92 m | 3.82 m | $3.85 \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | d | 1.93 (br d, 11.0) | 1.89 (br d, 11.9) | 1.86 m | 1.88 (br d, 11.7) |
|  | $\delta^{\prime}$ | 2.19 m | 2.18 (br t, 11.9) | 2.23 m | 2.23 (br t, 10.8) |
|  | NH | 7.55 (d, 6.1) | 7.69 m | 7.30 m | 7.36 m |
| $\beta$-Ala ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\alpha$ | 4.06 m | 2.30 m | 2.35 m | 2.35 m |
|  | $\alpha^{\prime}$ |  | 2.42 m | 2.42 m | 2.42 m |
|  | $\beta$ | 2.89 m | 2.95 m | 2.95 m | 2.88 m |
|  | $\beta^{\prime}$ | 3.74 m | 3.59 m | 3.64 m | 3.64 m |
|  | NH | 7.42 m | 7.53 br s | 7.55 br s | 7.60 br s |
| BrPhe ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\alpha$ | 4.43 m | 4.22 m | 4.24 m | 4.25 m |
|  | $\beta$ | 3.20 m | 2.80 m | 2.83 m | 2.85 m |
|  | $\beta^{\prime}$ |  | 2.89 m | 2.83 m | $2.85 \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | 2,6 | 7.02 (2H, d, 8.3) | 7.06 (2H, d, 7.2) | 7.02 (2H, d, 8.2) | 7.03 (2H, d, 8.4) |
|  | 3,5 | 7.30 (2H, d, 8.3) | 7.14 (2H, m) | 7.28 (2H, d, 8.2) | 7.28 (2H, d, 8.4) |
|  | 4 |  | 7.11 m |  |  |
|  | NH | 8.38 br | 8.81 br | 8.82 m | 8.81 br s |
| OHAsn | $\alpha$ | 5.20 m | 5.17 m | 5.23 m | 5.28 m |
|  | $\beta$ | 3.90 m | 3.94 m | 3.92 m | 3.94 m |
|  | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $7.10 \mathrm{brs}, 7.28 \mathrm{brs}$ | $7.22 \mathrm{~m}, 7.39 \mathrm{~m}$ | $7.09 \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 7.52 \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$ | $7.18 \mathrm{~m}, 7.51 \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | NH | 8.25 (d, 8.5) | 8.26 (d, 9.4) | 8.30 (d, 8.4) | 8.35 br s |
| Asn | $\alpha$ | 4.54 m | 4.55 m | 4.47 m | 4.49 m |
|  | $\beta$ | 2.27 (br d, 15) | 2.26 (br d, 14.8) | 2.28 (br d, 15.8) | 2.32 m |
|  | $\beta^{\prime}$ | 2.55 m | 2.54 (dd, 10.2, 15.6) | 2.54 (dd, 11.4, 15.8) | 2.55 (dd, 10.6, 16.0) |
|  | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $6.80 \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 7.33 \mathrm{~m}$ | $6.81 \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 7.32 \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$ | $6.82 \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 7.35 \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$ | $6.83 \mathrm{brs}, 7.34 \mathrm{brs}$ |
|  | NH | 7.62 br | 7.56 br | 7.71 brs | 7.72 brs |
| sAla | $\alpha$ | 4.79 m | 4.80 (br d, 10.2) | 5.12 br s | 5.05 brs |
|  | $\beta$ | 3.95 m | 3.95 m | 4.20 m | 4.20 m |
|  | $\beta^{\prime}$ | 4.53 m | 4.56 m | 4.85 (br d, 13.2) | 4.88 (br d, 13.3) |
|  | NH | 8.18 br | 8.18 br | 8.22 br | 8.30 br s |

[^3]HRFABMS $m / z 1811.4941(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, \mathrm{C}_{75} \mathrm{H}_{97}{ }^{79} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{27}(\Delta$ $-13.4 \mathrm{mmu}) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR see Table $2 ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR see Table 3 .

Chiral GC Analysis of Theonellamides. A $100 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ portion of each peptide was dissolved in $6 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and

Table 3. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Data for 3-6 [DMSO- $\left.d_{6} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(4: 1), 333 \mathrm{~K}\right]^{a}$

|  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ser-1 | $\alpha$ | 55.8 | 55.7 | 56.4 | 56.4 | $a \mathrm{Thr}$ | $\gamma$ | 21.0 | 21.1 | 21.2 | 21.1 |
|  | $\beta$ | 61.0 | 61.0 | 60.7 | 60.7 | sHis ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\alpha$ | 55.0 | 56.5 | 54.6 | 54.6 |
| Aboa ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\alpha$ | 37.2 | 37.3 | 37.1 | 37.1 |  | $\beta$ | 31.1 | 30.8 | 26.0 | 25.8 |
|  | $\beta$ | 52.4 | 52.5 | 52.5 | 52.5 |  | 2 | 137.6 | 137.4 | 137.0 | 137.0 |
|  | $\gamma$ | 68.3 | 68.4 | 68.3 | 68.3 |  | 4 | 136.6 | 136.5 | 131.6 | 131.5 |
|  | $\delta$ | 132.5 | 133.2 | 133.2 | 133.2 |  | 5 | 118.5 | 118.7 | 123.5 | 123.6 |
|  | $\epsilon$ | 135.8 | 135.6 | 135.7 | 135.6 | Ahad | $\alpha$ | 52.2 | 52.5 | 53.0 | 53.0 |
|  | $\epsilon-\mathrm{Me}$ | 13.1 | 13.0 | 13.1 | 13.0 |  | $\beta$ | 38.7 | 38.0 | 38.4 | 38.6 |
|  | $\zeta$ | 133.6 | 134.5 | 134.5 | 134.5 |  | $\gamma$ | 65.6 | 65.4 | 65.5 | 65.5 |
|  | $\eta$ | 128.1 | 126.8 | 126.8 | 126.7 |  | d | 44.0 | 44.0 | 44.5 | 44.4 |
|  | 1 | 137.6 | 136.5 | 136.9 | 136.9 | $\beta-\mathrm{Ala}^{\text {d }}$ | $\alpha$ | 69.7 | 34.8 | 34.8 | 34.9 |
|  | 2,6 | 126.6 | 128.6 | 128.6 | 128.6 |  | $\beta$ | 43.1 | 36.4 | 36.7 | 36.6 |
|  | 3,5 | 129.2 | 132.0 | 132.0 | 132.0 | BrPhe ${ }^{e}$ | $\alpha$ | 58.9 | 56.0 | 55.4 | 55.4 |
|  | 4 | 127.9 | 120.6 | 120.1 | 120.1 |  | $\beta$ | 39.5 | 37.3 | 36.7 | 36.8 |
| Phe | $\alpha$ | 54.5 | 54.6 | 54.5 | 54.5 |  | 1 | 141.7 | 136.9 | 137.0 | 137.0 |
|  | $\beta$ | 38.7 | 38.7 | 38.9 | 38.9 |  | 2,6 | 130.5 | 129.4 | 131.6 | 131.6 |
|  | 1 | 137.2 | 137.8 | 137.0 | 137.1 |  | 3,5 | 131.2 | 128.7 | 131.5 | 131.5 |
|  | 2,6 | 129.5 | 129.5 | 129.5 | 129.5 |  | 4 | 120.0 | 126.8 | 120.6 | 120.6 |
|  | 3,5 | 128.7 | 128.7 | 128.7 | 128.7 | OHAsn | $\alpha$ | 54.5 | 54.4 | 54.2 | 54.2 |
|  | 4 | 127.0 | 127.0 | 127.0 | 127.0 |  | $\beta$ | 71.8 | 72.0 | 72.5 | 72.5 |
| Ser-2 | $\alpha$ | 56.4 | 55.3 | 56.3 | 56.2 | Asn | $\alpha$ | 51.0 | 51.1 | 51.5 | 51.5 |
|  | $\beta$ | 61.3 | 61.5 | 61.7 | 61.6 |  | $\beta$ | 37.4 | 37.1 | 36.8 | 36.7 |
| $a \mathrm{Thr}$ | $\alpha$ | 58.2 | 58.3 | 58.3 | 58.4 | sAla | $\alpha$ | 52.1 | 52.0 | 50.9 | 50.9 |
|  | $\beta$ | 68.3 | 68.6 | 68.6 | 68.4 |  | $\beta$ | 47.5 | 47.6 | 50.0 | 50.1 |

${ }^{a}$ Amide carbons were not assigned. Their chemical shifts are as follows: $2 \delta 175.6,174.0,172.1,172.0,171.8,171.7$ (2C), 171.4, 171.3, $171.1,171.0,170.7,170.5,170.0,169.6 ; 3$ $\delta 174.6,174.0,172.1,172.0,171.8(3 \mathrm{C}), 171.6,171.5,171.0,170.8(2 \mathrm{C}), 170.2,170.0,169.6 ; 4 \delta$ $175.8,174.1,172.4,172.3,172.1,171.9,171.8,171.7,171.2,170.9,170.4,170.2,170.1,169.5,169.2 ; 5 \delta 175.8,174.1,172.2$ (2C), 172.1, 172.0, 171.8, 171.7, 171.1, 171.0, 170.4, 170.3(2C), 169.5, 169.3. ${ }^{b}$ Apoa residue in 3. ${ }^{c} \pi$-Nitrogen of the imidazole ring in 5 and 6 was substituted by arabinose and galactose unit, respectively. Arabinose residue in 5: $\delta 88.4$ (C1), 73.0 (C3), 69.4 (C2), 69.0 (C5), 68.3 (C4) ; galactose residue in 6: $\delta 88.5$ (C1), 78.5 (C5), $73.5(\mathrm{C} 3), 69.5(\mathrm{C} 2), 69.2(\mathrm{C} 4), 61.6(\mathrm{C} 6) .{ }^{d}$ Iser residue in $3 .{ }^{e} \beta-\mathrm{MeBrPhe}$ residue in 3 and Phe residue in 4. $\beta$-Me signal in 3 resonated at 17.1 ppm .
heated at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The reaction mixture was evaporated in a stream of nitrogen, dissolved in $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ in MeOH , and heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The product was evaporated, dissolved in trifluoroacetic anhydride ( $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 50 $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ), reacted at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , and evaporated in a stream of nitrogen. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and analyzed on a Chirasil-L-Val (Chrompack) column. The oven temperature was maintained for 3 min at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and raised to $200^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. Retention times for amino acid residues (min): D-Ser (12.38), D- $a$ Thr (12.80), L-Ser (13.38), L- $a$ Thr (13.98), D-Asp (15.28), L-Asp (15.67), (2R)-Iser (16.07), (2S)-Iser (16.30), D-Gal (18.54), L-Gal (18.96), D-Phe (22.06), L-Phe (22.93), D-BrPhe (31.28), and L-BrPhe (31.88). Retention times of GC peaks in the acid hydrolysate of theonellamide $A$ (min): 13.38, $14.02,15.76,16.33,16.95$ (OHAsp), 18.46, 23.08, 27.12 (Ahad), 28.52 (Ahad), and 30.94.(L- $\beta-\mathrm{MeBrPhe})$.

Hydrogenation of Theonellamides. A $100 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ portion of each peptide was dissolved in $n-\mathrm{PrOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2: 1,0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and hydrogenated at 1 atm in the presence of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(2 \mathrm{mg})$. The solution was filtered and the filtrate evaporated to dryness.

HPLC Analysis of the Marfey Derivatives. To the acid hydrolysate of a $10 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ portion of the peptide was added $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 1-fluoro-2,4-dinitrophenyl-5-L-alanine amide in acetone (LFDAA) $(10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$ and $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and the mixture was kept at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . To the reaction mixture was added $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of 2 N HCl and $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of MeOH and analyzed by ODSHPLC: column $4.6 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$; mobile phase, gradient elution from $\mathrm{MeCN} / 25 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:9) to $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{TFA}$ ( 50 : 50:0.05) in 20 min ; UV ( 340 nm ). D-FDAA was prepared as reported, ${ }^{12}$ and the amino acids were derivatized as in the case of L-FDAA. Retention times of OHAsp: $(2 S, 3 R)$-isomer, 12.8 $\min$; $(2 R, 3 S)$-isomer, 13.2 min . Retention times of Hisala: $\tau$-L-histidino-D-alanine (LD-isomer), 13.7 min ; LL-isomer, 14.8 min ; DL-isomer, 14.1 min ; DD-isomer, 13.3 min .

Isolation of Isoserine and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-Methyl- $\boldsymbol{p}$-bromophenylalanine. Theonellamide A ( 75 mg ) was dissolved in 10 mL of 6 N HCl and kept at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The reaction mixture
was evaporated and applied to a column of Hitachi custom $2624(1 \times 100 \mathrm{~cm})$ and eluted with 0.5 N triethylammonium acetate buffer ( pH 5.1 ) to give Iser ( 4.6 mg ) and MeBrPhe ( 8 mg ). Isoserine: $[\alpha]^{23} \mathrm{D}-15^{\circ}\left(c 0.2, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$; $\mathrm{CD}(1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl})[\theta]^{212}=$ $+1030^{\circ} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 4.16$ (dd, $J=4.1,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.28 (dd, 4.1, 13.1), 3.07 (dd, 8.2, 13.1). $\beta$-Methyl- $p$-bromophenylalanine: $\mathrm{UV}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 262 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon 1600) ; \mathrm{CD}(1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl})[\theta]^{227}=+3100^{\circ}$, $[\theta]^{205}=-3100^{\circ} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 1 N DCl in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 7.57(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 8.5), 4.20(\mathrm{~d}, 7.0), 3.43$ (quint, 7.1 ), 1.39 (3H, d, 7.2).
$\boldsymbol{\beta}$-Methyl-phenylalanine, $\beta$-Methyl- $p$-bromophenylalanine ( 6 mg ) was hydrogenated in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{EtOH}$ (8:2) in the presence of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(7 \mathrm{mg})$. The solution was centrifuged, filtered, and separated on a column of Hitachi custom 2612 gel ( $1 \times 30 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) with 0.5 N triethylammonium acetate buffer ( pH 5.1 ) followed by ODS HPLC with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give $\beta$-methylphenylalanine ( 0.6 mg ). $\beta$-Methylphenylalanine: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 7.12(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 7.8), 6.82(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.79(\mathrm{~d}, 7.6), 3.39$ (quint, $7.4), 1.29(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 7.2)$.
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